## SCS Agency Franchise Tax Board

## **SUMMARY ANALYSIS OF AMENDED BILL**

Author: _Torlakson	Analyst: Gloria McConnell	Bill Number: AB 1950
Related Bills:	Telephone: 845-4336	Amended Date: 04/23/98
	Attorney: Janet Ballou	Sponsor:
SUBJECT: Suspended Corporations/Fines for Transacting Business Do Not Apply to Insurers/Counsel		
DEPARTMENT AMENDMENTS ACCEPTED. Amendments reflect suggestions of previous analysis of bill as introduced/amended		
AMENDMENTS IMPACT REVENUE. A new revenue estimate is provided.		
AMENDMENTS DID NOT RESOLVE THE DEPARTMENT'S CONCERNS stated in the previous analysis of bill as introduced 02/17/98.		
FURTHER AMENDMENTS NECESSARY.		
X DEPARTMENT POSITION CHANGED TO <u>Neutral</u> .		
REMAINDER OF PREVIOUS ANALYSIS OF BILL AS INTRODUCED <u>02/17/98</u> STILL APPLIES.		
X OTHER - See comments below.		
SUMMARY OF BILL		
This bill, among other things, would preclude an insurer of a suspended corporation from being prosecuted in a misdemeanor action if it defends an insured suspended corporation client in a civil action relating to the insurer's responsibilities to defend and indemnify the insured.		
SUMMARY OF AMENDMENT		
The April 23, 1998, amendments do not affect FTB's programs or operations.		
<u>Considerations</u>		
The following considerations were raised in the analysis of the bill as introduced February 17, 1998. Upon request from the author, staff is available for technical assistance:		
Although this bill exempts the insurer from a misdemeanor prosecution, it does not provide the insurer with the express opportunity to defend the suspended corporation without actually reviving the corporation from suspended status.		
DEPARTMENTS THAT MAY BE AFFECTED:		
STATE MANDATE GOVERNOR'S APPOINTMENT		
Board Position:  S	Agency Secretary Position:  S O SA OUA N NP NA NAR DEFER TO	Position Approved Position Disapproved Position Noted
	Agency Secretary Date	By: Date:
Johnnie Lou Rosas 5/5/98		

Assembly Bill 1950 (Torlakson) Amended April 23, 1998 Page 2

• This bill applies to any suspended corporation, which is a corporation that incorporates in California, but not foreign corporations that have qualified to do business in California. It is unclear if this is the author's intent.

## BOARD POSITION

At its meeting of March 26, 1998, the Franchise Tax Board took a neutral position on this bill on a 2-0 vote, with Robin J. Dezember, on behalf of Member Craig L. Brown, abstaining.